

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/407,878	HERNANDEZ III, GASPER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sanjiv D. Shah	2627	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sanjiv D. Shah.

(2) Thomas S. Auchterlonie.

**Date of Interview:** 5 October 2005

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Finally Rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*As applied in prior office action*

Claims discussed:

*1-45*

Prior art documents discussed:

*As applied in prior office action*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner discussed arguments presented in pre-appeal brief request and explained office interpretation. Applicant's attorney explained their interpretation of claimed invention.*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**SANJIV SHAH**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

---

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

---

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)